

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/651,854		Applicant(s) MORAN, DOUGLAS B	
	Examiner Matthew Heneghan		Art Unit 2134	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(2) William James.

Date of Interview: 1/6/06

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
21,22

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that, upon further consideration, claims 21 and 22 would not be allowable because the limitations that had previously made it allowable did not contribute to the structure of the declared system; Attorney James agreed to cancel claims 21 and 22 without prejudice..